WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١	,	
١	,	

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		v.	•	INDL	IN OF DETERMION FENDING TRIAL		
	А	lex Lopez-Alcantara	Case Numb	er: _	09-6062M		
presen	t and wa				was held on February 11, 2009. Defendant was ridence the defendant is a flight risk and order the		
I find by	y a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT				
	×	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully	y adr	nitted for permanent residence.		
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the ch	harged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
		The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
	$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal h	istory.				
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.					
		There is a record of prior failure to a	ppear in court as ordere	d.			
		The defendant attempted to evade I	aw enforcement contact	by fl	eeing from law enforcement.		
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of	у	ears imprisonment.		
at the t	The Co	ne hearing in this matter, except as no	oted in the record.		ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defer No condition or combination of cond DIRECT	litions will reasonably as IONS REGARDING DE	sure <b>TEN</b>			
appeal of the U	ctions fa .   The de Jnited St	cility separate, to the extent practicabl fendant shall be afforded a reasonabl ates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpo	e, from persons awaiting e opportunity for private ne Government, the pers	or se consi son in conn			
deliver Court.	IT IS O a copy o	RDERED that should an appeal of thi	s detention order be filed	d with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
Service	es suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release ently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be core the District Court to a	onsid Ilow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
	DATE	ED this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of February,	2009.				
			San J				

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge